

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/744,634	01	/26/2001	Helmut Jorke	3926.018	2938
7	7590	02/13/2003			
Stephen A Pendorf Pendorf & Cutliff PO Box 20445			EXAMINER		
				ESPLIN, DAVID B	
Tampa, FL 33	3622-044:	5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2851	

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/744,634	JORKE, HELMUT	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		D. Ben Esplin	2851	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	1 the correspondence address	
A SH THE   - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re  on.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty  period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT  statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 January 2003 .		
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	illowance except for formal matt nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
•	ion of Claims			
,—	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applic			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 9-12 is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.		
·	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8 and 13</u> is/are allowed.			
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ion Papers	ind/or election requirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner		
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) i		e Examiner	
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _		sapproved by the Examiner.	
,—	If approved, corrected drawings are required			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in Ap	plication No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	ı <b>)</b> .
	)  The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Attachmen				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8) 5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

The claims are in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 4 and 9-12 to an invention non-elected with traverse in Paper No. 8.

In the office action dated 5/15/02 Examiner *restricted* the claims, arguing that the two sets of claims, 1-3 and 5-8 (Group I), and 4, and 9-12 (Group II), did not contain a single inventive feature over the prior art. Consequently, Applicant was required to *elect* a single group for examination. Which Applicant did, *electing* Group I with traverse. Applicant argued that the splitting and combining of light was the inventive feature contained in both groups of claims. However, in the Office Action dated 8/20/02 Examiner presented art showing that the splitting of light, as claimed in originally examined claims 1-12, was not novel, and thus could not be a considered an inventive feature.

Applicant further relied upon the fact that no lack of unity requirement was made during PCT stage examination. Perhaps this is because only a projector, and not a recorder was claimed in the PCT stage. The subject matter of a recorder was not added to the claims until it had been filed as a national stage application in the U.S. and claim numbers 9-12 were added and claim 4 was amended (Pre-Amdt. A filed 1/26/01, and Pre-Amdt. B filed 1/15/02). So this argument is moot. If the PCT stage application claims included both a projector and recorder, then a lack of unity requirement might have been made.

Art Unit: 2851

Should Applicant wish to amend the claims of Group II so as to include an inventive feature of Group I in order to overcome the restriction/election requirement made by Examiner, it is strongly suggested that Applicant point out in the description sections enabling the claimed subject matter.

### Specification

The specification amendments filed 28 January 2003 have not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b)because: The clean version of the changes attempts to amend paragraphs 00034, 00045, and 00061. However, the original specification does not include numbered paragraphs.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3, 5-8, and 13 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: A projecting device including a first and second partial light bundles with different chromaticity, as defined by Applicant in description and arguments, along with the rest of the structure and function of these claims, is not found in the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/744,634

Art Unit: 2851

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Ben Esplin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4022. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (8am-4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russell E. Adams can be reached on (703) 308-2847. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DBE February 11, 2003

RUSSELL ADAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 4